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Abstract—Colonoscopy is an important procedure for the diagnosis of various pathologies, in particular cancer of the colon and of the rectum. However, colonoscopy is a procedure often painful for the patient and complex for the doctor. So in the biomedical field, a wireless microrobot in pipe that can move smoothly in water or aqueous medium has urgently been demanded. In this paper, we developed a new kind of wireless microrobot with symmetrical spiral structure, which also had symmetrical kinematic characteristics. According to the hydromechanical lubrication theory and Newton viscous law, we build the motion model of the microrobot, which will provide a theoretical basis on designing the optimal structure parameters of the microrobot. Through analysis, simulations and experiments, this paper had evaluated the effect of spiral angle, which could realize forward-backward, upward-downward motion and stopping at any position we need in the pipe. In addition, we obtained the moving speeds of forward-backward and upward-downward motion in the pipe. The experimental results indicated that the maximum moving speed is 36.5 mm/s at 14 Hz in the horizontal direction and 4.6 mm/s at 16Hz in the vertical direction with input currents of 0.7A. Finally, we designed a control panel for this system, which can control the microrobot current motion states intuitively and easily, and make our system more portable and compact. The developed wireless microrobot can move smoothly in water and other liquid medium and is very useful in the industrial application and microsurgery application.

I. INTRODUCTION

Endovascular intervention has become more and more popular in recently. It is widely used in biomedical practice, diagnosis and surgery. Pipeline micro-robot is an important research branch of MEMS (Micro-Electro-Mechanical System). It consists of two aspects. One is to walk in the hard pipe, which is widely used in many fields of the chemical engineering, nuclear power plant and refrigeration. The other one is to walk in the flexible pipe, such as the human gastrointestinal (GI) tract, the blood vessel, for biomedical treatment. With the development of MEMS technology, make the micro-robot which is as a tool in very small spaces, and in biomedical practice, possible to enter the body and execute non-invasive or minimally invasive biomedical operations. In biomedical and industrial applications, a new type of microrobot in a pipe has urgently been needed [1]-[2]. They can move smoothly in water or other liquid medium, making it suitable for pipe inspection and microsurgery of blood vessels. To minimize the suffering of a patient, a capsule endoscope has been developed [3]. However, the capsule cannot control its moving direction and moving speed itself, due to the waste of large quantities of data. So the capsule endoscope needs an autonomous moving function.

In our previous study, as wireless microrobots controlled by a external magnetic field are both safe and reliable, and can be carried deep within the tissues of living organisms in the body fluids, it is need to propose a new kind of magnetic field model to drive the microrobot easily [4]-[6].

Recently, several types of microrobots utilizing the magnetic actuators have been developed. Honda developed a new kind of wireless swimming robot with a tail fin which can swim in one direction [7]. Thereafter Mei Tao developed another kind of wireless microrobot with desirable experiment results by using a new kind of intelligent magnetic material FMP [8]. Nakata developed new magnetic rotational drive by use of magnetic particles with specific gravity smaller than a liquid [9]. And especially Professor Guo et al developed a novel type of wireless swimming robot with a fin-driven that can move in horizontal direction and vertical direction [10]-[11]. Then they proposed more kinds of microrobots with hybrid motions, such as padding motion, propeller-driven, spiral motion [12]-[17]. In our study, previous researchers have developed several kinds of wireless microrobots as shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. Several kinds of wireless microrobots
In the hybrid motions, the kind of spiral motion can not only obtain the maximum driving force, but also is the highest efficiency in a very small space. So we need develop a kind of microrobots with a symmetrical spiral structure immediately.

II. MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF THE MICROROBOT

A. The modeling of the microrobot

According to the right-hand rule, we established the coordinate system for the microrobot movement as shown in Fig. 2. In the right-hand coordinate system, V is the axial velocity, U is the circumferential velocity. For the sake of analysis the force effect of the microrobot, we assumed the velocity, U and V can be composed of \( u_x \) (x-component) and \( w_z \) (z-component).

\[
u_x = U \sin \theta + V \cos \theta
\]

\[
w_z = V \sin \theta - U \cos \theta
\]

![Figure 2. Conceptual structure of the screw thread](image)

In the previous study, based on hydromechanical lubrication theory and Newton viscous law, the similar force analysis had been discussed [19]. According to our designed microrobot in the pipe, the main force will be evaluated as shown in the Table I in the horizontal direction, which consists of \( f_x, f_z \), \( F_{axial}, F_{circum}, F_{resist} \), and \( f_r-friction \). And in the vertical direction, the \( G \) and \( F_u \) should be considered separately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main force</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( f_x )</td>
<td>The total shear stress of the screw thread gap region and the thread region in x-axis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_z )</td>
<td>The total shear stress of the screw thread gap region and the thread region in z-axis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{axial} )</td>
<td>The axial traction force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{circum} )</td>
<td>The circumferential friction force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{resist} )</td>
<td>The resistance from the liquid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_{r-friction} )</td>
<td>The rolling friction between the microrobot and pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G )</td>
<td>The weight of the microrobot.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Newton viscous law, we can obtain the \( f_x \) and \( f_z \) as following:

\[
f_x = \mu \frac{du}{dy} = -n\mu(U \sin \theta + V \cos \theta)\frac{a + b}{c + h}
\]

where \( n \) is the number of thread unit, \( \mu \) is the viscosity coefficient of the liquid, \( A \) is the contact area, \( du/dy \) is the velocity gradient.

Then we can compute the axial traction force, circumferential friction force, resistance from liquid as following:

\[
F_{axial} = f_x \cos \theta + f_z \sin \theta = 3n\mu abh^2 \left( U \sin \theta \cos \theta - V \cos^2 \theta \right) \frac{a + b}{bc + a(c + h)} \left( a + b \right)
\]

\[
F_{circum} = f_x \cos \theta - f_z \sin \theta = 3n\mu abh^2 \left( U \cos^2 \theta - V \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + n\mu \left( a + b \right)
\]

\[
F_{resist} = 0.5k \rho V^2 S = 0.5k \rho V^2 \pi R^2
\]

\[
F_{r-friction} = \eta G
\]

\[
G = m g
\]

\[
F_u = \rho g V g = \frac{\rho}{\rho_g} G
\]

where \( k \) is the damping coefficient of the liquid, \( \rho \) is the density of the liquid, \( S \) is the front of contact area of the microrobot, \( \eta \) is the coefficient of rolling friction, \( G \) is the weight of the microrobot, \( V_g \) is the volume of the microrobot, \( \rho_g \) is the density of material used to design the microrobot.

We can obtain \( m_g \) from an electronic balance, and calculate \( G \) and \( F_u \) easily. In order to simplify the equations, we assumed \( \beta = a/(a+b), \gamma = h/c \), so we can obtain:

\[
F_{axial} = F_{axial} - \frac{c}{a+b} = 3n\mu ab(1-\beta)\gamma^3 \left( U \sin \theta \cos \theta - V \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) - nV \frac{b + 1 - \beta}{1 + \gamma} \]

\[
F_{circum} = F_{circum} - \frac{c}{a+b} = 3n\mu b(1-\beta)\gamma^3 \left( U \cos^2 \theta - V \sin \theta \cos \theta \right) + nUb \frac{b + 1 - \beta}{1 + \gamma} \]

Therefore, the total force of the microrobot in the horizontal direction in-pipe is:

\[
F_{horizontal} = F_{axial} + F_{circum} - F_{resist} - F_{r-friction}
\]

In the vertical motion, the microrobot is also influenced by its gravity and the buoyancy from the liquid, we assumed \( \Delta F = F_u - G \), so the total force of the microrobot in the vertical direction in-pipe is:

\[
F_{vertical} = F_{axial} + F_{circum} + \Delta F - F_{resist} - F_{r-friction}
\]

When the traction force is zero, the axial speed is maximal, the expression of maximum speed as following [18]:

\[
V_{max} = \frac{3n\beta(1-\beta)\gamma^3 \sin \theta \cos \theta - U}{\left( b + \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \gamma}\right)^2 + 3\beta(1 - \beta)\gamma^3 \sin^2 \theta}
\]
B. The motion analysis of the microrobot in the horizontal direction

We had simulated the performance effect of the spiral angle \( \theta \) to \( F_{\text{axial}} \), \( F_{\text{circum}} \), \( F_{\text{resist}} \), \( F_{\text{friction}} \), \( F_{\text{horizontal}} \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \). By refer to the structure of microrobot we designed, we assumed \( \beta=0.5, \gamma=1, \mu=1, U=0.005, V=0.05, n=5, k=1, \rho=1, R=0.003 \). Considered of the size of the microrobot, we fixed the range of \( \theta \) is 0° to 90°. The simulation results in the horizontal direction as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

From the results, we conclude that when \( \theta \) is 20° to 70°, \( F_{\text{axial}} \) the traction force of capsule is positive. Since the cross-sectional area of microrobot relative to the pipeline is very small, the affection of \( f_{\text{resist}} \) is very small. In addition, when \( \theta \) is equal to 45°, the microrobot can obtain the maximum total force and moving speed in the horizontal direction.

C. The motion analysis of the microrobot in the vertical direction

We had also simulated the performance effect of the spiral angle \( \theta \) in the vertical direction. We assumed \( \beta=0.5, \gamma=1, \mu=1, U=0.005, V=0.05, n=5, k=1, \rho=1.1, R=0.003 \). Considered of the size of the microrobot, we fixed the range of \( \theta \) is 0° to 90°. The simulation result is shown in Fig. 5.

From (14) and simulation result, we can find that \( F_{\text{vertical}} \) is just related to \( F_{\text{horizontal}} \) and \( \Delta F \), and when \( \theta \) is from 10° to 80°, the \( F_{\text{vertical}} \) is a positive value, but it is a very small. So the microrobot can achieve upward motion without gravity compensation in theory. When \( \theta \) is 45°, the microrobot can obtain the maximum total force 3mN in the vertical direction.

III. EXPERIMENTS ON THE PROPOSED MICROROBOT

A. Experiment on forward-backward motion

In the detection process, the microrobot should complete forward-backward motion in the pipe. Base on the theorectical analysis of the proposed microrobot [18], so we developed this new kind of spiral type microrobot, it as shown in Fig. 6 and the design parameters of it is shown in Table II.

| Table II: Specification of the Proposed Microrobot |
| Size | Φ6mm×50mm |
| Weight | 1.38g |
| Material of the body | EVA (Ethylene Vinyl Acetate) |
| Permanent magnet | 1 piece |

By using the measurement system as shown in Fig. 7, with the aided of the laser displacement sensor system, the following characteristics of the moving speed were measured. We carried out the experiments by changing the frequency of input currents from 0Hz to 18Hz, and the amplitude of input currents were fixed at 0.7A.

Figure 6. Prototype of the microrobot

Figure 7. Measurement system on horizontal experiment

Figure 8. Moving speed of forward-backward motion
Based on the measurement system, the microrobot can achieve rotation motion in the pipe forward-backward. Through changing the frequency and phase of input currents [18], the experimental results of average moving speed of the microrobot were obtained as shown in Fig. 8.

Due to the completely symmetrical mechanical structure, we can find this kind of microrobots has the same kinematic characteristics in the horizontal direction. We have also obtained the maximum moving speed in the pipe is 36.5mm/s around 14Hz.

B. Experiment on upward-downward motion

According to our preceding analysis and the simulation results in Fig. 5, we can conclude that when $\theta$ is from $10^\circ$ to $80^\circ$, the $F_{vertical}$ is a positive value, but it is very small. When $\theta$ is equal to $45^\circ$, the microrobot can obtain the maximum total force 3mN in the vertical direction. Through the experiment on upward motion, it indicted that the microrobot can difficult realize the upward motion in the pipe with a very low speed. In addition, considered of simplify the control strategy, we should make the kinematic characteristics of the microrobot as symmetrical as possible, so we need counterweight for the microrobot in order to make $\Delta F=0$, which is let the microrobot suspend in water.

Considered the material of the microrobot, we select another material that has a small density as gravity compensation. The specifications of materials are shown in Table III and Fig. 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Main material</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>EVA (Ethylene Vinyl Acetate)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent magnet</td>
<td>NdFeB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity compensation</td>
<td>EPE (Expandable Polyethylene)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. The gravity compensation of the microrobot

In addition, the EPE is a kind of very soft material, which installs at the head and end of the microrobot will buff the microrobot impact the pipeline, so that will improve the safety of detection process. The prototype of the microrobot with gravity compensation is shown in Fig. 10.

Based on the measurement system as shown in Fig. 11, the microrobot can achieve upward-downward motion in the pipe. Through changing the frequency and phase of input currents, the experimental results of average moving speed of the microrobot were obtained as shown in Fig. 12. We have also obtained the maximum moving speed in the pipe is 4.6mm/s around 16Hz.

C. Experiments on developed microrobots with different spiral angles

We have evaluated the performance of the conceptual structure. In this paper, we defined the spiral angle is the angle between screw threads and shaft. We also had developed four types of microrobots with different spiral angles that $30^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $60^\circ$ and $90^\circ$, which had the same length and thread unit numbers of spiral structure. The prototypes of the microrobot are shown in Fig. 13, and the specification of the spiral structures are shown in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Spiral Depth</th>
<th>Spiral Angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microrobot 1</td>
<td>$\phi5mm\times50mm$</td>
<td>3mm</td>
<td>$90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microrobot 2</td>
<td>$\phi5mm\times50mm$</td>
<td>3mm</td>
<td>$60^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microrobot 3</td>
<td>$\phi5mm\times50mm$</td>
<td>3mm</td>
<td>$45^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microrobot 4</td>
<td>$\phi5mm\times50mm$</td>
<td>3mm</td>
<td>$30^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13. Prototypes of the microrobot with different spiral angles
Base on the experimental measurement system, we also measured the moving speeds of different spiral angles of the microrobots in the horizontal direction. By changing the frequency of the input currents from 0 Hz to 18 Hz, and the amplitude of input currents were fixed at 0.7A, the experimental results of average speeds were obtained as shown in Fig. 14.

![Figure 14. Moving speeds of the microrobots with different spiral angles](image)

From Fig. 14, we can conclude that when the spiral angle is 90°, and the moving speed of the microrobot is nearly zero, because of there is no driving force in the motion direction. So the microrobot just does rotation motion in the pipe, without position changing. We can also find the kinematic characteristic of the spiral angle 30°, 45° and 60° are very similar, but just different from the maximum speed and moving frequency width. Due to the differences of mechanical structure of the spiral angles, the friction between microrobot and pipe is different, and the driving force from the spiral structure is also different.

When in a low frequency, the driving force cannot overcome its own gravity to drive the microrobots rotating in the pipe. So from 0Hz to 3Hz, the moving speed of the microrobots with spiral angle 30°, 45° and 60° is nearly zero. But when the frequency of the input currents over 4Hz, the microrobots begin to rotate with the rotation magnetic field in the pipe. Base on the spiral drive theory and previous analysis [19], the microrobots can be moving forward or backward in the pipe by changing the order of input currents. In addition, when the frequency of the input currents over 16Hz, the moving speed of the microrobots in pipe is down to zero. This is because the limitation of magnetic field intensity, the wireless microrobots can’t rotate following the rotation magnetic field at a high level frequency any more, so the microrobots will out-of-step with the rotation magnetic field.

We also fitted the speed curves of the kind of microrobots with 45° spiral angles, and the moving speed equations (16) and (17) for microrobot in the horizontal and vertical direction can be obtained from the fitting curves as shown in Fig. 15.

\[
V_{\text{Horizontal}} = 3.0136f - 3.3515 \\
V_{\text{Vertical}} = 0.337f - 0.5925
\]

where \( f \) is the frequency of input currents, \( v \) is the moving speed of the microrobot in pipe.

IV. DESIGN OF CONTROL PANEL FOR THE SYSTEM

At the experimental stage, we usually use a function generator to generate sine wave signals, but this way is not portable and compact. Based on the experimental results, we design a control panel for our system.

The control panel we designed is shown in Fig. 16. Through selection of the buttons, we input the status we needed, and then the MCU will output the corresponding signals to the amplifying unit and display the current status. The control panel can realize stopping, running and changing the direction of motion, which can be selected through the button control as shown in Fig. 17. In the running status, we can choose low-speed motion, mid-speed motion and high-speed motion, and the moving speed can be referenced by the experimental results.

![Figure 16. Control panel](image)

![Figure 17. Button control](image)


According to the actual operation needs, we referenced our experimental results, and defined low-speed, mid-speed, high-speed motion as the following Table V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion State</th>
<th>Frequency Selected</th>
<th>Speed of Horizontal Motion</th>
<th>Speed of Vertical Motion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-speed</td>
<td>4 Hz</td>
<td>8.32 mm/s</td>
<td>0.32 mm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-speed</td>
<td>9 Hz</td>
<td>23.3 mm/s</td>
<td>2.4 mm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-speed</td>
<td>14 Hz</td>
<td>36.54 mm/s</td>
<td>4.26 mm/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE V. MOTION STATE
Base on the control panel, we measured the moving speeds of three motion states of the microrobot. The average results had been shown in Fig. 18.

![Experimental results in three motion states](image)

With this control panel, our system will be portable and compact, and we can control the microrobot current motion states intuitively and easily.

**V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

In this paper, a new kind of wireless microrobots in pipe with symmetrical spiral structure has been developed. This paper has discussed the modeling and analysis the wireless microrobot structure. In order to evaluate the performance of the spiral structure, we also developed microrobots with different spiral angles. The experimental results indicated that the microrobot could achieve forward-backward, upward-downward motion in the pipe, and could stop at any position we needed. The maximum moving speed is 36.5 mm/s at the frequency of 14 Hz in the horizontal direction and 4.6 mm/s at the frequency of 16Hz in the vertical direction with currents of 0.7A based on the type of Microrobot 3, which is the optimal structure with gravity compensation.

This kind of microrobots has the similar kinematic characteristics in the pipe, so the control strategies become much simpler. In order to realize portable and compact control, we designed a control panel, which can achieve stopping, running and changing the direction of motion. And in the running status, we can choose low-speed motion, mid-speed motion and high-speed motion.

In the future, we will focus on reducing the volume of the microrobot, and make it suitable for a more small space to work. It is also need to establish a more DOFs experimental system to realize the microrobot more DOFs motion in the pipe.
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