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Abstract—Aiming to deal with underwater localization for small-size robots in GPS-denied and structured environment, this paper proposed a novel multi-sensor fusion-based self-localization system using low-cost sensors. Based on multi-sensor information fusion, an Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) is utilized to synthesize the multi-source information from an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU), optical flow, pressure sensor and ArUco markers, which enables the robot obtain a highly precise positioning. This method also can reduce the location drift over time owing to the loss of markers in pure markers-based localization. Specially, a velocity correction model is proposed using the angle information obtained by IMU, which can compensate optical flow-based velocity estimation errors caused by robot posture changes. Finally, to validate the performance of the proposed self-localization system, simulations are conducted using Gazebo simulator on the robot operating system (ROS). Moreover, a series of experiments in an indoor swimming pool are presented. Results of the proposed method and dead reckoning are compared in simulation and experiment to demonstrate the robustness and feasibility of the proposed localization system.

Index Terms—Bio-inspired robot, multi-sensor fusion, marker-assisted localization, underwater self-localization system.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, underwater detection and localization using underwater sensor networks [1], [2] have a great development. But these methods are the most important technologies to guide the robot to implement autonomous operation tasks [3], [4] in open and wide oceans. In narrow and structured spaces, such as nuclear reactor pool, underwater self-localization methods [5], [6] are necessary for the autonomous underwater vehicles and underwater robots.

Now most underwater self-localization researchers are focused on the deep-seas environment for large AUVs. As shown in Table I, these researches are mainly divided into three categories: Inertial Navigation System (INS), Acoustic Beacon-based System (ABS), GPS-based system and Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM). INS method is also called dead-reckoning [7], [8], which is calculate the vehicles moving distance using the direction and velocity obtained by Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) and Doppler Velocity Log (DVL), respectively. The acoustic localization system [9] acquires the location by measuring the time of flight of signals from acoustic beacons or modems to perform navigation. The two methods all need equipment with large size and high power, which is not suitable for the miniature underwater robot. GPS-based positioning method [10], [11] is not used in underwater environments. SLAM-based localization method [12], [13] is realized with surrounding environment features detection in visual measurement or imaging sonar. But in the nuclear reactor pool, the bottom and wall of pool are smooth monochromatic planes, and it is difficult...
to detect features. Therefore, autonomous underwater self-localization method in structured and GPS-denied environments are more challenging and difficult than in the widely deep sea.

Unlike the field environment, such as the sea and the river, the water in structured environments (the nuclear reactor pool etc.) are much clearer, which make vision-based approach [14]–[16] become feasible. As shown in TABLE I, except the advantage of low-cost sensors and low power consumption, vision-based localization is a feasible method for the miniature underwater robot [17], [18] with limited computational capacities and energy. A planar marker-based localization system [19] has been built in the robotic fish with the cheap webcam and the ARM processor. And the accurate absolute position information can be obtained by the 30 markers in the bottom of the aquarium. Another research [20] also used a coded map covered on the bottom in a water tank to estimate position of the robot. More importantly, in order to improve the stability and accuracy of vision-based localization, many researches combine vision-based method with inertial navigation. Karras et al. proposed the state estimation module [21] using IMU, pressure sensor and a down-looking camera. The pose, velocity and acceleration were fused by complementary filter. Meanwhile, ArUco markers were used to correct the accumulated error, but the velocity correction is not presented. Jongdae et al. proposed a AUVs self-localization method [22] using visual measurements of underwater structures and artificial landmarks. The particle filter was exploited to fuse data from IMU, DVL, markers and attitude and heading reference system (AHRS). This method needs to extracted geometry information of the target structure to compare with pre-generated synthetic observations, which greatly increased the complexity of the system and reduced the robustness of the system. Besides, compared with a down-looking camera, the FOV (field of vision) limitation of a forward-looking camera in this method reduced the probability that the robot cannot capture the markers, but it led to the localization drift easily.

In this paper, a multi-sensor fusion-based self-localization system of a miniature underwater robot is proposed to generate high-precision position online using low-cost and small-size sensors in structured and GPS-denied environment. Considering the efficiency and accuracy of Extend Kalman Filter (EKF), Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF) and Particle Filter (PF), EKF is used to fuse the multi-source information, including the pose and position from ArUco markers, heading angle from IMU, corrected velocity from optical flow and depth from pressure sensor, to reduce the location drift over time owing to the loss of markers in pure markers-based localization. To help the reader to understand this method, a detailed notations introduce is given in TABLE II.
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**Fig. 1.** Overview of the robot operation in the nuclear reactor pool.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Main distinctive characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INS+DVL</td>
<td>long time self-localization in water, position error accumulation, sensors with large size and high power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>positioning using multiple acoustic beacons, limited application, sensors with large size and high power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>wide application without underwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAM</td>
<td>Suitable for scenarios with obvious features, heavy computation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision-based localization</td>
<td>low-cost and low power consumption, widely used in many scenarios by fusion with other sensors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_m^i$</td>
<td>marker center position in coordinate $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_m^j$</td>
<td>marker orientation in coordinate $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_c$</td>
<td>camera position in coordinate $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_c^k$</td>
<td>camera orientation in coordinate $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R(O_c^k)$</td>
<td>rotation matrix from coordinate $a$ to $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>the focal length of the camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>the distance from camera from the pool bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>the field of view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$AB_x, AB_y$</td>
<td>distances of $AB$ in $x$ and $y$ of image plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A'B', A'B''$</td>
<td>the distances in object plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta, \hat{\theta}$</td>
<td>roll, yaw and pitch of the robot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s(k)$</td>
<td>the states vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f(s(k), k)$</td>
<td>the nonlinear system function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta(k), v(k)$</td>
<td>yaw angle and velocity of robot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma(k)$</td>
<td>$N(0, Q(k))$ the process noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m(k)$</td>
<td>the measurement vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h(s(k))$</td>
<td>measurement function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v(k)$</td>
<td>$N(0, R(k))$ the measurement noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q(k)$</td>
<td>the process noise covariance matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R(k)$</td>
<td>the measurement noise covariance matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_k$</td>
<td>the state covariance matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_k$</td>
<td>the Kalman gain matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_k$</td>
<td>Jacobi matrices of the nonlinear system function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_k$</td>
<td>Jacobi matrices of the measurement function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Main Components of the Robot

A. Overview of the Underwater Robot

As shown in Fig. 2(a), the robot is shaped like a sphere and consists of two parts. The upper spherical part is composed of a sealed housing and an inlet and outlet housing that is used to regulate zero-buoyancy in water. The sealed housing consists of the information processing system, processors, IMU, and pressure sensors. The acoustic communication and binocular camera are mounted on the upper part. The lower part consists of a detachable acoustic communication and binocular camera are mounted on the lower part. Two servomotors are also installed on the plate to drive the opening and closing of two quarter-spherical hulls. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the robot can crawl on the pool floor in structured environment, such as a nuclear reactor pool. Also, the robot can swim between task waypoints as shown in Fig. 2(b).

The information processing system mainly performs tasks, such as sensor data collection and processing, robot position and pose control. Due to the limited power consumption and narrow space of the miniature underwater robot, NVIDIA Jetson TK1 is selected as the core processor and it is assisted by STM32F407VET6 microcontrollers to consider the efficiency of data processing. The robot is automatically operating on a Linux system, which communicates with the remote computer via an optical fiber cable. The sensing system completes tasks, such as sensing its own state and perceiving the surrounding environment. A low-cost down-looking camera fixed in a 3D-printed waterproof housing, is mounted to the left side of the robot, and used to acquire images in the bottom of tank. IMU is arranged in the sealed housing, and acquire the pose of the robot. Furthermore, a binocular camera is used to perceive obstacles ahead and a pressure sensor is utilized to calculate the distance between the robot and the water surface.

The multi-vector water-jet driving system is the basis of the robot movement in water. It is composed of four mechanical legs actuated by servo motors and water-jet thrusters. Each leg with three joints has three Degrees of Freedom (DoF) and the thruster is fixed on the leg. Four legs are radially free distributed around the robot with high symmetry, which composed the multi-vector water-jet propulsion system. Energy supply system provides the power for the whole robot system. The system has three 7.4V Li batteries with a total capacity of 13200mAh, which are processed by circuit modules to meet the different voltage requirements of different subsystems. The communication system includes acoustic communication and optical fiber communication. According to the needs of robot image transmission and multi-source data transmission, optical fiber is used to communicate with the remote computer.

III. EKF-BASED MULTI-SENSOR FUSION SELF-LOCALIZATION SYSTEM

This section presents EKF-based multi-source information fusion self-localization system. The acquisition and processing of pose information and velocity from ArUco markers and optical flow are introduced in detail. Then, multi-source information from IMU, optical flow, ArUco markers and pressure sensor is used to estimate the robot state using EKF. The schematic diagram of the proposed localization system is shown in Fig. 4.

A. ArUco Marker-Based Mapping and Localization

According to the discussion above, the position information obtained by ArUco markers [28] has high accuracy, which leads its higher credibility and priority in the localization system. Fig. 5(a) shows the successful detection of ArUco markers. But this method is easily affected by the light intensity. The low light intensity makes the environment dark, so the image is unclear and the visual features is not obvious. It is hard
to detect the corners in optical flow method and recognize ArUco Markers. However, most of the nuclear pool have a good light intensity, and the clear water leads the markers identification easily. Therefore, the marker arrays are widely employed in featureless indoor environments such as a shallow test tank [29], such as the nuclear reactor pool. It is also suitable to correct the drift of the inertial navigation system.

ArUco library contains 1024 images that modified by different internal binary codes and assigned different numbers. And the marker can be uniquely identified by its code, which enables it to have the ability to provide detection, recognition and six DOF pose information of camera. Since the robot is not positioned at a fixed point, but over a large range, a series of ArUco markers which are arranged irregularly at the bottom of the pool are utilized in the proposed localization system. Therefore, the relative position of these markers is required. In other words, the map containing the location information of these markers needs to be determined in advance. Building a precise ArUco map is a vital component in the algorithm. One of the most intuitive methods is to apply visual information to complete the automatic mapping process. To be specific, if one marker is utilized as a reference frame, each frame captured by the camera should ensure that at least two markers exist at the same time, and at least one of them also exists in the previous frame, and so on, the relative position between each marker is obtained [30]. Then, the pose of camera can be calculated when any marker presents in the field of view.

The ArUco marker coordinate system is defined as $a$, and map coordinate system is defined as $m$, which is coincided with the coordinate of the first detected marker. Thus, the center position and orientation of the marker in coordinate $m$ are $P^m_a = (x^m_a, y^m_a, z^m_a)$ and $O^m_a = (\theta^m_a, \varphi^m_a, \psi^m_a)$, respectively. And the position and orientation of the camera in coordinate $m$ are $P^c_m = (x^c_m, y^c_m, z^c_m)$ and $O^c_m = (\theta^c_m, \varphi^c_m, \psi^c_m)$, respectively. The position and orientation of camera that calculated by markers in coordinate $a$ are $P^a_m = (x^a_m, y^a_m, z^a_m)$ and $O^a_m = (\theta^a_m, \varphi^a_m, \psi^a_m)$. The plane schematic diagram of coordinate transformation is shown in Fig. 6. And the relationship of them is described as follows.

$$O^m_a = O^m_o + O^c$$
$$P^c_m = P^a_m + R(O^m_a)P^c_a$$

Finally, $O^m_a$ and $P^c_m$, also the pose of camera can be obtained from the above analysis. Considering that there may be more markers in a frame at the same time, the camera position calculated by each marker should be identical in a perfectly ideal situation. However, the error often exists. In this case, the pose information calculated by different markers is averaged to be the final and accurate value. The position of robot can also be derived from this information.

ArUco marker occupies little computational resources and provides the pose information of the camera with high precision, markers will not appear in the field of view all the time. Sometimes, when it appears in the field of view, it is challenge to recognize the markers because of the shelter or reflection, as shown in Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 5(c). Therefore, it is straightforward to consider obtaining stable positioning result with additional sensors, and ArUco marker-based localization is used to correct errors when they occur in the field of view and can be identified. So, the data processing from other sensors is introduced in the rest of this section.

### B. Velocity Measurement and Correction

Optical flow is used to find the corresponding relationship between the previous frame and the current frame using the change of pixels in the time domain, then calculate the motion information of the robot between adjacent frames. In this paper, a down-looking camera is mounted on the robot to estimate the moving information of the robot.

A traditional Pyramid Lucas-Kanade optical flow method [31] is applied to proposed localization method.
The schematic diagram of calculating velocity by optical flow is shown in Fig. 7. Point O is the optic center of the camera, A is a feature point in the image, and B is the same feature point in another frame. The motion velocity of camera can be obtained from the distance of the same feature point in the different frame. In addition, f is the focal length of the camera, and h is the distance of the camera from bottom of the pool. Set FOV as the field of view, the velocity of camera can be calculated as below.

\[
\frac{AB_x}{A'B_x'} = \frac{f}{h} = \frac{AB_y}{A'B_y'}
\]

\[
velocity_x = \frac{A'B_x'}{\Delta t} = \frac{|u_B - u_A| \cdot h}{f \cdot \Delta t}
\]

\[
velocity_y = \frac{A'B_y'}{\Delta t} = \frac{|v_B - v_A| \cdot h}{f \cdot \Delta t}
\]

where \(AB_x, AB_y\) are the distances of \(AB\) in the \(x\) and \(y\) directions in image plane, respectively, and \(A'B_x', A'B_y'\) are the distances in object plane.

During the robot movement in water, the uneven distribution and water flow may cause the roll and pitch of the robot. As shown as in Fig. 7, the image plane of down-looking camera is not parallel to the object plane. Then, the estimated velocity of the robot is inaccurate. Therefore, an IMU-based corrected velocity estimated method is proposed by Equations (7)-(10).

\[
AB_x = A_1B_1x - \varphi \cdot \frac{row}{FOV} \cdot col
\]

\[
AB_y = A_1B_1y - \psi \cdot \frac{row}{FOV} \cdot col
\]

\[
velocity_x = \frac{(|u_B - u_A| - \varphi \cdot \frac{row}{FOV} \cdot col)}{f \cdot \Delta t} \cdot h
\]

\[
velocity_y = \frac{(|v_B - v_A| - \psi \cdot \frac{col}{FOV}) \cdot h}{f \cdot \Delta t}
\]

where \(row, col\) are number of image rows and columns, respectively. And \(\varphi, \psi\) can be measured by IMU. Thus, the velocity of the robot is calculated.

**C. EKF-Based Multi-Source Information Fusion**

According to the previous discussion, when marker is not detected, inertial navigation is adopted to realize real-time self-localization. Therefore, optical flow technology and IMU are exploited for pose and position estimation. EKF is suitable for nonlinear system, and has a good real-time performance which is used to improve the proposed localization accuracy. The optimal estimated value of current state is obtained by the previous state estimation and the current state observation. In this process, the state model and observation model are essential to be established firstly.

1) **State Model:** considering to obtain the localization information of the robot, \(s(k) = [x(k), y(k), z(k), \theta(k), v(k)]^T\) is set as the state vector of the system. As shown in Fig. 8, it consists of position in the world coordinate system, yaw angle and velocity of the robot. The system equation is given in the following form:

\[
s(k) = f(s(k-1), k-1) + \sigma(k-1)
\]

where \(f(s(k), k)\) is the nonlinear system function, \(\theta(k)\) and \(v(k)\) are yaw angle and velocity of robot at time k, respectively. \(\sigma(k)\) is the process noise which is assumed as Gaussian white noise and \(\sigma(k) \sim N(0, Q(k))\). \(\Delta t\) is system sampling interval.

2) **Measurement Model:** In robot localization system, sensors are employed to refine the predicted position, including camera, pressure sensor and onboard IMU. Here are two situations: (1) the ArUco marker is captured and recognized; (2) ArUco maker is not recognized. Without ArUco marker-assisted localization, depth data from pressure sensor, yaw angle from IMU and velocity from optical flow can be used and the measurement vector is \(m(k) = [z(k), \theta(k), v(k)]^T\). If the robot captured and recognized ArUco marker, the measurement vector is \(m(k) = [x(k), y(k), z(k), \theta(k), v(k)]^T\). And the measurement equation is given as follows:

\[
m(k) = h(s(k), k) + \nu(k)
\]

where \(h(s(k))\) is measurement function, \(\nu(k)\) is assumed to Gaussian white noise in measurement and \(\nu(k) \sim N(0, R(k))\).

With the system model described above, the depth, yaw angle and velocity are fused together to achieve localization. Although data acquisition from sensors is normally at a high frequency, the continuous localization trajectory does not exist in practical navigation applications. Therefore, EKF uses the current estimated state at each time step k as a linearization
where, \( F_k \) and \( H_k \) are Jacobi matrices of the non-linear system function \( f(s(k), k) \) and measurement function \( h(s(k), k) \). \( Q(k) \) is the process noise covariance matrix and \( R(k) \) is the measurement noise covariance matrix. \( P_k \) is the state covariance matrix and \( K_k \) is the Kalman gain matrix. Besides, \( \hat{\cdot} \) stands for estimate value. \( Q(k) \) and \( R(k) \) are the values reflecting process noise and measurement noise, respectively, and they are unable to be calculated by theoretical derivation and are often tuned experimentally by a trial-and-error method. The partial derivative of these Jacobi matrices is recognized. The partial derivative of these Jacobi matrices is

\[
F_k = \nabla f(s(k), k) = \frac{\partial f(s(k), k)}{\partial s(k)}
\]

\[
H_k = \nabla h(x(k), k) = \frac{\partial h(x(k), k)}{\partial x(k)}
\]

where, \( H_k \) is determined by whether the ArUco marker is recognized. The partial derivative of these Jacobi matrices is relatively easy to be calculated and it is not necessary to use UKF or PF. Therefore, the computational complexity of EKF is easier than UKF and PF.

D. Online Self-Localization Strategy

Generally, with key information extracted from sensors, the proposed EKF-based self-localization approach synthesizes the multi-source information to realize the online self-localization system with low-cost sensors and low power consumption hardware platform. In addition, other sensors can be easily extended to this system to further improve accuracy and redundancy. As illustrated in Fig. 9, initially the robot does not obtain its own position, and maybe none of marker exists in the field of view. Thus, the origin of the world coordinate system is defined as the projection point of the robot initial center position on the two-dimensional ground, and the vertical ground upward is the positive direction of the Z-axis.

The direction in which the yaw angle equals to zero is the positive direction of the X-axis, and the definition of Y-axis satisfies the right-hand rule. When the marker does not appear, only the yaw angle provided by IMU and velocity provided by optical flow are fused to perform position estimation. Specially, the coordinate system of the first marker in the field is defined as the new world coordinates. The position obtained before the first marker appears needs to be transformed to the new coordinate system. It has been hypothesized that markers have been fixed on the flat ground, so the pitch and roll angles of robot in two coordinates are consistent. Finally, the transformation relationship is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_c^m &= R(\theta_R) P_o^c + T \\
\theta_R &= \theta_m^c(t) - \theta_o^c(t) \\
T &= -P_o^c(t) \\
R(\theta_R) &= \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\theta_R) & \sin(\theta_R) & 0 \\
\sin(\theta_R) & \cos(\theta_R) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P_o^c \) and \( P_m^c \) are camera position in the initial coordinate system and marker coordinate system, respectively. \( \theta_R \) is the rotation angle in the z-axis between above two coordinate systems. \( \theta_m^c(t) \) and \( \theta_o^c(t) \) respectively represent the yaw angle of the camera in the initial coordinate system and marker coordinate at time \( t \). \( R(\theta_R) \) and \( T \) are the rotation and translation matrices between two coordinate systems.

In addition, depth information measured by the pressure sensor are available at the same sampling frequency as the velocity from optical flow. If the ArUco marker appears in the current frame, the position is easily calculated to correct the accumulative error due to the drift.

IV. GAZEBO-BASED SIMULATION

Simulations are conducted using Gazebo 7 in Robot Operation System (ROS) platform to validate the feasibility and
A. Gazebo-Based Simulation Platform

Gazebo is one of the most widely used robot simulation platforms. The robot 3D movement simulation facilitates the robot research. In this simulation, the first step is to design the simulation system, including the robot model establishment and the experimental environment arrangement. We import the model built in SOLIDWORKS into Gazebo to keep the same shape, size, and quality with the real robot. The simulated sensors include IMU, pressure sensor and camera, they are driven by plugins in Gazebo. Camera parameters are the same as those obtained by camera calibration. All the parameters in the simulated experiments have been listed in TABLES III and IV. In order to conform to the actual situation, Gaussian white noises are added to the virtual sensors according to the sensors used in the robot. The noise variances are shown in TABLE V.

The experimental scene design is divided into the water environment and the marker layout. At the bottom of the water environment, 20 ArUco markers with different IDs are fixed for the auxiliary localization of the robot. The simulation environment is shown in Fig. 10. Then the next step is to link the localization algorithm on ROS platform with the Gazebo simulation system, as shown in Fig. 11. with messages read by the virtual sensors, the robot position is calculated by the state estimation module online, and is fed back to decision center. Using the current position and the predefine trajectory, decision center calculates the control signals using PID algorithm and send control signal to the motors and water-jet thrusters. Since the simulation system and the localization system are completely independent, the format of data transferred between them is the same as the robot prototype. Therefore, all modules in the localization system can be directly applied to the robot prototype.

B. Simulation in Gazebo

The robot started from the coordinate origin in the virtual environment, and tracked a counterclockwise rectangle path. While the robot moves along the given path, the down-looking camera detects and recognizes ArUco markers in real time, as shown in Fig. 12. Due to the higher accuracy of markers-based localization, once one marker is recognized, current position is immediately corrected.

Simulated experiments are conducted using two localization methods. In the first method, only IMU and Optical Flow (IOF) are exploited to calculate the robot position.
The second method is the proposed method which utilizes the ArUco markers to assist localization. 3-D estimated robot trajectory robot in simulation is shown in Fig. 13. The blue, red and green curve indicate the reference, the proposed method and IOF, respectively. Robot trajectories of the two localization methods are generally in the same plane, and the markers-assisted localization method is closer to the preset robot trajectory (blue curve in Fig. 13). Moreover, the localization results with two methods and comparison analysis in 2-D plane are illustrated in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15, respectively. Although the trajectory of IOF method is very smooth and the localization result is close to the reference in a short time, the trajectory deviated from the reference as robot moves. It confirms that the IOF suffer from a drift problem. On the contrary, the marker-aided method can well compensate the drift caused by IOF. As mentioned above, marker information has the highest trust level in our algorithm because it is more reliable than inaccurate optical flow and IMU. Sometimes the output trajectory of the marker-aided method is not very smooth. The reason is that the robot cannot see markers all the time. When there is no valid markers in the view of the robot or recognition failure, IOF will be used to positioning and the estimated localization will gradually diverge from real value due to the drift until the robot catches sight of a marker. At that moment, the position is corrected to an accurate value. This explains why the red curve is not smooth. In the whole trajectory, results of the proposed method at each moment are very close to the preset trajectory, as shown in Fig. 14. According to the analysis of experimental data, IOF localization results are not very accuracy, and the maximum errors in X and Y are 0.418m and 0.391m over a 15m long trajectory. This is caused by the drift of low cost IMU and optical flow-based velocity estimation. As shown in Fig. 15, the average error of proposed method remains below 10cm and the maximum error is not greater than 20cm, which proves that the proposed method reached the requirement.

Consequently, the proposed method has better performance than the IOF in the average sense. And simulation experiments have demonstrated the availability and accuracy of proposed localization algorithm for the miniature underwater robots with low computational performance and low-cost sensors.

V. EXPERIMENTS AND ANALYSIS

Although simulation results verified the feasibility of the proposed algorithm, a set of experiments employing a miniature robot is conducted to further prove the practicability of the self-localization algorithm. This robot has a good movement performance [33], [34] against water turbulent.

A. Experimental Setup

As this method is designed for the nuclear reactor pool, the turbulent can be ignored. Therefore, experiments were conducted in an indoor swimming pool, with dimensions $3\times2\times1$ m as shown in Fig. 16 (a). The bottom of pool was covered with 20 ArUco markers for acquiring precise position information. In the real environment, the light and visibility of water environment will greatly affect the accuracy of marker identification. Thus, in order to detect markers as many as possible, the distribution of markers is relatively dense. And the array and geometry relationship are predefined. The distribution map of markers with different IDs is shown in Fig. 16 (b). A down-looking camera with 640 x 480 pixels and 30 frames per second (fps) is suspended below the robot, and it is exploited to identify the markers and capture the
TABLE VI

MAIN COMPONENTS OF THE ROBOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>USB RGB 640 x 480 30 fps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMU</td>
<td>Micro Strain 3DM-GX5-45 20Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure sensor</td>
<td>MS5803-14BA 20Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Processor</td>
<td>NVIDIA Jetson TK1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro controller</td>
<td>STM32 ARM 32-bit Cortex-M3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Lab pool with ArUco markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 markers with dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 cm x 16 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

optical flow. A low-cost IMU is fixed in the sealed housing for measuring the yaw, pitch and roll angle of the ego robot. Pressure sensors are used to obtain depth information. Besides, in order to evaluate the robot position calculated by the self-localization method, the global position is estimated using a vision-based localization method with a global camera above the swimming pool. The main hardware and software of localization experiments are summarized in TABLE VI.

B. Localization Performance Analysis and Comparison

This section reports a performance analysis as well as a comparison between the proposed multi-sensor fusion-based algorithm and traditional INS method. In this experiment, a rectangle trajectory is predefined. As shown in Fig. 17, the markers were recognized in turn while the robot tracked the trajectory. The 3-D localization results are shown in Fig. 18.

As shown in Fig. 19, the 3-D results are projected to O-XY plane. The red curve indicates the positioning results using the proposed localization method, and the blue curve is the reference calculated by the vision-based localization with a global camera. Compared with the IOF localization results (green curve in Fig. 18), the proposed localization results is closer to the reference, which proves that the proposed marker-aided multi-sensor fusion-based localization method has higher accuracy. Unlike the smooth green curve, the red curve exists fluctuations, which caused by the marker-based position correction. With the marker-based position correction, the deviated robot trajectory is corrected back to the reference. In the z-axis, the two methods have small fluctuations, which conforms to the fact that the robot moves in a defined depth.

To further evaluate the proposed localization results, the maximum error and average error of two methods are compared in Fig. 20. In the 6.5m rectangle trajectory, the maximum errors of IOF in X and Y are 0.613 m and 0.482m, and the maximum errors of the proposed localization method in X and Y are only 0.14m and 0.07m. The errors of IOF came from the error accumulation caused by the drift of IMU and optical flow-based velocity estimation. The errors of the proposed method are mainly caused by the marker identification failure.

In conclusion, as demonstrated by experimental results above, the performance of the marker-assisted multi-sensor fusion-based localization algorithm is significantly better in comparison to the IOF method. Using this proposed method, the miniature robot can position itself online in structured environments. Because of various reasons, marker will not always appear, nor will every marker in the field of view be identified. Nevertheless, information obtained by marker still plays an important role. The estimated position will gradually diverge.
until a valid marker is identified. At that moment, the estimated position is enforced to return to an accurate value. Generally, average errors maintain below 10 cm, maximum errors are not greater than 20 cm, which proves that the proposed method reached the requirement.

Compared with simulation results, average errors of the proposed localization method are larger. The reason is that markers identification failure caused by the interference of light in the lab. In contrast, the maximum error in the simulation environment is greater than that in the real environment. The reason for this situation is that the size of the pool limits the moving distance of the robot, and the error accumulation in the localization process is not as obvious as in the simulation. However, the simulation and experimental results have well evaluated and proved the practicability and accuracy of the multi-sensor fusion-based localization method for the miniature underwater robot.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed an autonomous underwater self-localization system of a miniature underwater robot using multi-sensor fusion with low computational capacities and low-cost sensors. The proposed multi-sensor fusion method employs Extended Kalman Filter to synthesize the multi-source information from ArUco makers, IMU, pressure sensors and optical flow, which enables the robot obtain a highly precise positioning. This method also can reduce the location drift over time owing to the loss of ArUco markers in pure markers-based localization. Specially, a velocity correction model is built to compensate optical flow-based velocity estimation error using the angles information obtained by IMU. The simulation and experimental results proved that the proposed localization system realized underwater centimeter level positioning, which benefits operation tasks in a structure environment.

Considering the marker recognition failure caused by illumination and occlusion, we will focus on underwater image enhancement to achieve a high recognition rate. Besides, autonomous obstacle avoidance is also to be studied to improve the robot application in the nuclear reactor pool.
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